

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

PNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILIN      | G DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/722,847              | 11/26/2003 |            | Pascal Salazar-Ferrer | 14XZ130600              | 6549             |
| 23413                   | 7590       | 08/23/2006 | EXAMINER              |                         | INER             |
| CANTOR C                |            |            | NGUYEN, JENNIFER T    |                         |                  |
| 55 GRIFFIN<br>BLOOMFIEI |            |            | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
| DECOM IE                | 2B, C1 00  | 302        |                       | 2629                    |                  |
|                         |            |            |                       | DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/722,847  | SALAZAR-FERRER ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jennifer T. Nguyen  | 2629   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 No.  | ovember 2003  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| · <u>=</u>   | , <del> _</del>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   | ·   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| _  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/13/04</u> . 6) Other:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 2, 6, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chevassus et al. (Patent No. US 6,342,878).

Regarding claim 1, Chevassus teaches a peripheral device (fig. 1) for manipulating images comprising:

a gripping element (10) (col. 4, lines 26-58);

means (26, fig. 2) for transmitting command information to means for processing as a function of shift and/or efforts applied by the user on the device (col. 5, lines 55-61);

means (22, fig. 1) for actuating by the user to control the means for processing to switch from one operating mode (i.e., two dimensional operating mode) where the gripping element is used for manipulating images to an operating mode (i.e., two dimensional operating mode) where the device is used as a pointer or for selection and vice versa (col. 5, lines 18-44).

Regarding claim 2, Chevassus teaches the means for actuating comprise a button (22) (col. 5, lines 18-31).

Application/Control Number: 10/722,847

Art Unit: 2629

Regarding claim 6, Chevassus teaches the device presents a gripping head mounted on a transmitter for shifts and/or efforts, the head presenting a groove enclosing it at least partially and which facilitates positioning of the fingers of the user (col. 4, lines 26-35).

Regarding claim 13, Chevassus teaches an assembly comprising: a peripheral device (10) for manipulating images by a user (col. 4, lines 26-58);

means (26) for processing having a memory for image modeling;

means (i.e., first serial link) for linking by which the peripheral device (10) transmits to the means for processing command information as a function of shift and/or efforts applied by the user on the device;

at least one means (32) for displaying an image;

means (i.e., first serial link) for linking by which the means for processing transmit to the means for display an image to be displayed, the image being a function of the command information transmitted to the means for processing by the peripheral device (col. 5, line 45 to col. 6, line 4);

wherein in one operating mode the device controls 2D navigation on the means for display;

the means (26) for processing comprising means in the one operating mode, as a function of the command information transmitted by the peripheral device (10), for controlling a 2D shift of a pointer displayed on the means for display and/or selecting given functions as a function of the position of the pointer (col. 5, line 45 to col. 6, line 4);

the assembly comprising means (22) actuated by the user to control the means for processing to switch to another operating mode for manipulating 3D images from the one

Art Unit: 2629

operating mode where the device is used to control 2D navigation on the means for display and vice versa (col. 5, lines 18-44).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chevassus et al. (Patent No. US 6,342,878) in view of Reid et al. (Patent No. US 6,853,365).

Regarding claim 3, Chevassus teaches the device has a gripping head mounted on a transmitter for shifts and/or efforts, other end has an arched section at its (figs. 1 and 2).

Chevassus differs from claim 3 in that he does not specifically teach the head having a general elongated hemispheric shape terminating at one end in a substantially straight edge.

Reid teaches a general elongated hemispheric shape terminating at one end in a substantially straight edge (fig. 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the end in a substantially straight edge as taught by Reid in the system of Chevassus in order to fit easily to user's hand.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, the combination of Chevassus and Reid teaches the device has a gripping head mounted on a transmitter for shifts and/or efforts, the head presenting on its gripping face three recesses (107-109, figs. 1-2) distributed in a triangle in symmetrical fashion constituting tactile markers for positioning the hand of the user (col. 5, lines 1-23 of Reid).

5. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chevassus et al. (Patent No. US 6,342,878) in view of Zagnoev (Pub. No. US 2003/0090394).

Regarding claim 7, Chevassus differs from claim 7 in that he does not specifically teach a wall forming a wrist-rest in front of the device.

Zagnoev teaches a wall forming a wrist-rest (21) in front of the device (10) [0026]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the wrist-rest as taught by Zagnoev in the system of Chevassus in order to allow the user to use the device comfortly.

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Chevassus and Zagnoev teaches a casing (10) having on one side a plurality of buttons forming a keyboard (26) and on the other side the device to be manipulated by user (23) [0024]-[0030] of Zagnoev.

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Chevassus and Zagnoev teaches a casing bearing a plurality of buttons, as well as the device to be manipulated by user, the device being placed in a tray which the casing presents (fig. 1, [0024]-[0030] of Zagnoev).

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Chevassus and Zagnoev teaches a casing on which the device to be manipulated by user is placed, the device extending with a main reference plane which, in the position of use expected for this peripheral device, is inclined relative to the horizontal (fig. 2, [0024]-[0030] of Zagnoev).

Regarding claims 11 and 12, although the combination of Chevassus and Zagnoev does not specifically teach the angle of inclination is between 10 and 20 degree or 15 degree.

However, it would have been obvious to obtain those angle of inclination in order to allow the user to control the device comfortly.

Art Unit: 2629

6. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chevassus et al. (Patent No. US 6,342,878) in view of Bonanni et al. (Patent No. US 6,400,157).

Regarding claims 14 and 15, Chevassus differs from claims 13 and 14 in that he does not specifically teach the peripheral device is placed in a surgical theater and/or examination room on the edge of a table intended for patients.

Bonanni teaches a peripheral device is placed in a surgical theater and/or examination room on the edge of a table intended for patients (fig. 2, col. 7, lines 30-41). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the peripheral device is placed in a surgical theater as taught by Bonanni in the system of Chevassus in order to perform surgery and other medical procedures efficiently.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered to pertinent applicant's disclosure: US 5,230,623 and US 6,545,663.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7696. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number: 10/722,847

Art Unit: 2629

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Nguyen 8/19/06

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 7